

An Iterated Local Search Algorithm for the Vehicle Routing Problem with Backhauls

Daniel Palhazi Cuervo, Peter Goos and Kenneth Sörensen
University of Antwerp, Department of Engineering Management - Antwerp, Belgium


The vehicle routing problem with backhauls (VRPB)

Two types of customers:

- ▶ The consumers (**linehaul**) that request goods from the depot
- ▶ The suppliers (**backhaul**) that send goods to the depot

Constraints:

- ▶ Every vehicle visits at least one linehaul customer
- ▶ In every route, neither the load of goods sent to the linehaul customers nor the load of goods received from the backhaul customers exceeds the vehicle capacity
- ▶ In every route, the linehaul customers are served before the backhaul customers



Iterated local search algorithm (ILS)

Initial Solution

Alternative procedures:

- ▶ *Random*: randomly insert the customers in the solution
- ▶ *Greedy*: iteratively insert the customer at the position that produces the smallest increase of the solution cost
 - ▷ Initialization step: insert a randomly selected linehaul customer in every route

Oscillating local search (OLS)

- ▶ Consideration of solutions that violate the capacity constraint
- ▶ Neighborhood structure (each iteration):
 - ▷ Intra-route and inter-route customer relocation
 - ▷ Intra-route and inter-route customers exchange
 - ▷ Inter-route crossover
 - ▷ Intra-route 2-opt
- ▶ Cost function:

$$\text{cost}(S) = \text{distance}(S) + \alpha \sum_{1 \leq r \leq m} [\text{lh_excess_load}(r) + \text{bh_excess_load}(r)]$$

- ▶ Update rules for the penalization:
 - ▷ α is initialized to a value α_0 and multiplied by a factor $\beta > 1$ when the exploration process cannot find a better solution
 - ▷ When a locally optimal feasible solution is found, the OLS verifies whether the new solution is better than the best feasible solution found so far. If so, α is set back to α_0 and another complete cycle of the algorithm is executed




Figure : Search pattern of the OLS heuristic in terms of the excess load and the cost of the solutions explored at each iteration.

Perturbation

- ▶ Iteratively relocate customers in the solution
- ▶ The customer and the new position are randomly selected

Statistical Analysis

Parameters studied

- ▶ Procedure to generate the initial solution
- ▶ Perturbation size
- ▶ Initial penalty (α_0)
- ▶ Multiplicative factor used to increase the penalty (β)

Conditions

- ▶ 10 executions using each combination of parameter values
- ▶ Performance measures:
 - ▷ The cost of the best solution found (out of the 10 executions)
 - ▷ The average solution cost
 - ▷ The average execution time
- ▶ Estimation of a mixed-effects analysis of variance (ANOVA) model for each performance measure

Results

- ▶ Important parameters:
 - ▷ Size of the perturbation
 - ▷ Initial penalty α_0 (OLS)
- ▶ Best performance when:
 - ▷ Perturbation size is equal to 30%
 - ▷ The OLS is able to explore infeasible solutions with no restrictions (initial penalty $\alpha_0 = 0$)




Figure : Influence of the perturbation size and the initial penalty α_0 on the average cost of the solutions found.




Figure : Influence of the perturbation size and the initial penalty α_0 on the average cost of the best solutions found.

ILS vs. state-of-the-art algorithms

Algorithm	Num. Best sol.	Avg. best sol. cost	Avg. sol. cost	Avg. time
<i>Set JBG</i>				
RTS-AMP	40/62	290981.80	-	11.01
BTS	39/62	291160.00	291305.70	36.67
LNS	50/62	291014.70	291823.34	14.48
MACS	46/62	290655.29	290920.90	37.35
ILS	58/62	290593.84	291332.41	14.31
<i>Set TV</i>				
RTS-AMP	21/33	706.40	-	4.33
BTS	25/33	702.20	702.50	13.36
LNS	26/33	701.18	704.50	8.54
MACS	27/33	701.48	702.30	14.17
ILS	32/33	700.72	704.42	3.83

Table : Performance comparison of the algorithms and their scaled execution times.

Conclusions

- ▶ Features of the OLS heuristic:
 - ▷ Wide neighborhood structure (4 operators)
 - ▷ Exploration of solutions that violate the capacity constraint
- ▶ Important components of the ILS:
 - ▷ Perturbation size
 - ▷ Exploration of infeasible solutions (OLS)
- ▶ ILS vs. state-of-the-art algorithms:
 - ▷ Simpler and faster algorithm, better performance (2/3 measures)